Daily Journal - California's Largest Legal News Provider



Subsequently, the same stockholders alleged, among other things, that the members

of Windstream's board of directors breached their fiduciary duties by failing to disclose certain material information prior to the stockholder meeting to adopt the two proposals.

In response, the directors filed a motion to dismiss arguing, among other things, the proxy statement contained all facts material to the vote on the two proposals and other public filings contained any other information sought by the stockholder-plaintiffs.

The court considered whether the disclosures made in the proxy statement and outside of the proxy statement were sufficient to grant the directors' motion to dismiss. The court rejected the directors' argument that the information relating to the spin-off was not material because it was reasonably conceivable the two proposals and the spin-off were the same transaction. The court distinguished *In re Novell Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, in which the duty of candor was found not to extend to matters that may be related, but are not subject, to a stockholder vote. Unlike in *Novell* where two transactions, though negotiated together, held value independent of one another, the court found it reasonably conceivable that the two proposals were of no value other than in connection with the spin-off.

Moreover, the fact that all of the reasons provided in the proxy statement for the stockholders to vote in favor of the two proposals related to benefits of the spin-off and that the background of the transaction in the proxy statement listed the rationale for and benefits of the spin-off, supported the right of Windstream's stockholders to all material information regarding the spin-off before voting on the two proposals at the special meeting.

The court also rejected the directors' argument that, to the extent any material information was not provided in Windstream's proxy statement, the information was disclosed through various press releases, investor presentations, information statements and other means. The court noted that "while Delaware law recognizes that '[p]roxy statements need not include facts known or reasonably available to the stockholders,' misrepresentations or omissions are not 'cured by reason that [they] could be uncovered by an energetic shareholder reading an SEC filing." Where the disclosure violations were at least conceivably material, excluded from direct communications of Windstream with its stockholders and involved arguably misleading statements, the court concluded that the information was not reasonably available to Windstream's stockholders. Based on the foregoing conclusions, the court held that it was at least reasonably conceivable that the plaintiff-stockholders' disclosure violations were material, relevant to the two proposals and inadequately disclosed at the time of the special meeting. Therefore, the directors' motion to dismiss the fiduciary duties claims against them for failing to disclose certain material information was denied

This decision is instructive in several respects. The right of stockholders to vote on a transaction is not the controlling factor when determining whether disclosure of the material facts related to that transaction is required. The fundamental reason for requiring disclosure of a transaction that does not require stockholder approval is the materiality threshold that triggers all disclosure obligations. Although the court in *Doppelt* analyzed whether the two proposals were the same transactions as the spin-off, that analysis only determines the materiality of the spin-off relative to the two proposals. *Doppelt* establishes that where a transaction requiring stockholder approval has value only insofar as that which is created by a transaction that does not require stockholders' decision on the former transaction and material information regarding that transaction should be disclosed.

In addition, Delaware law does not burden stockholders with a duty to discover information that is not directly disclosed to them by the corporation. In the case of public companies, this means the proxy statement should disclose all material facts. If it comes to light that certain material information was omitted from the proxy statement, then the proxy statement should be supplemented. A public corporation should not rely on investors presentations or other materials filed on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website that are not disclosed directly to the stockholders to satisfy disclosure requirements or supplement existing disclosures.

In the case of private companies, best practice is to disclose all material facts in any disclosure document sent to stockholders when seeking their approval. However, all private Delaware corporations with more than a handful of stockholders should consider obtaining all of the stockholders' consents to all forms of electronic notice pursuant to Section 232 of the General Corporation Law of the state of Delaware. After

Law Practice

Reed Smith tax litigator launches boutique Former Reed Smith LLP tax litigator Marty Dakessian announced Wednesday he has spun off his practice from Reed Smith to launch a boutique.

Mergers & Acquisitions

Semiconductor bidding war sheds light on political tensions with China The decision by Fairchild's board leaves a

premium on the table and highlights the hostile political climate that companies may feel during a deal with a Chinese acquirer.

U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit 'Hurt Locker' protected by free speech: panel

Defense attorneys view the ruling as a major victory for freedom of speech advocates.

Intellectual Property

Marvell settles patent suit for \$750 million Santa Clara-based Marvell Technology Group Ltd. will pay \$750 million to settle an epic patent fight spanning nearly seven years with Carnegie Mellon University, the semiconductor company announced Wednesday.

Criminal

Wrongfully imprisoned inmate settles suit The justice's passing probably benefits unions, but outcome of Friedrichs is unclear

U.S. Supreme Court

Justices should provide backup for our veterans

The U.S. Supreme Court will soon determine whether Congress intended to maximize the procurement contracts awarded to veteran-owned businesses with the 2006 Veterans Act. By Jessica Ring Amunson and R. Trent McCotter

Environmental

Climate change by administrative law? On Feb. 9, the U.S. Supreme Court acted to prevent the implementation of an EPA rule requiring that states make cuts in greenhouse gas emissions from producers of electricity in the states. By **Joseph DiMento and Alejandro E. Camacho**

U.S. Supreme Court

Justices to look at when debtors can avoid debt

In March, the U.S. Supreme Court will hear arguments about whether debtors are allowed to transfer assets for less than equivalent value in exchange, without it being deemed fraud. By **Neal Salisian and Stephanie Chau** obtaining those consents, any disclosures may be sent by email or, assuming the stockholders all have access to it, may posted on the corporation's intranet (if separate notice of the posting is given to the stockholders). Obtaining stockholder consent to electronic transmissions significantly reduces the risk of litigating the claims brought in *Doppelt*.

Marc Boiron is an associate with Rutan & Tucker, LLP.

Kelly Galligan is an associate with Rutan & Tucker, LLP.

Previous Next

Military Law

Tending to veterans' fertility needs The Pentagon recently announced a series of measures aimed at attracting and retaining personnel who have a dual desire to serve and be a parent. By **Judith Daar**

Mergers & Acquisitions

Disclosures when stockholder approval not required

A recent opinion sheds light on the disclosure obligations related to transactions that do not require stockholder approval but are closely tied to transactions that do. By **Marc Boiron and Kelly Galligan**

Labor/Employment

A few unaddressed employment issues Statutory and common law protections for employees in recent decades have largely eviscerated the presumption that employment is "at will." By William M. Crosby

U.S. Supreme Court

Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye logical choice to replace Scalia

Our nation should not suffer a deadlocked, eightmember Supreme Court unable to resolve major cases for a year or more. Obama should chose a nominee that responsible Republicans and Democratic senators could support. By **Terry B. Friedman**

Corporate Counsel

Erin Copeland Chief Legal Officer San Manuel Band of Mission Indians Highland

Judicial Profile

Anthony Trendacosta Superior Court Judge Los Angeles County (Monterey Park)

Litigation

Apple battling government over reach of 1789 statute

A court order requiring Apple Inc. to disable security features on an iPhone belonging to a shooter in the San Bernardino massacre is raising legal questions over the reach of the U.S. government's power to search phone data.

HOME : MOBILE SITE : CLASSIFIEDS : EXPERTS/SERVICES : MCLE : DIRECTORIES : SEARCH : PRIVACY : LOGOUT