By Kirk C. Jenkins

L at times the California Supreme Court—the time when it was busiest with oral argument, and thus a frequent subject of discussion for many in the appellate bar. The subject has been taking on increased prominence in recent months because of the litigation over Proposition 66 death penalty initiative, which many experts argue will slow the pace of civil litigation at the court, if it is to be a complete halt.

But is there anything that can be learned from the current situation, or is the situation unique?

California appellate courts have long been recognized for their speed in comparison to most states, given that in both civil and criminal cases to file an unquashed within 60 days of argument. As a result, the California Supreme Court is a place where argument, and to that extent, where an argument is taken seriously, is a place where argument is taken seriously, and where the justices have at least a tentative idea of which way the court is leaning.
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