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A talks continue over a proposed right-to-protest buffer zone system in New York. The conversation seems endless as to how much the taxpayer should expect to pay for it. The concept, a water-based system has been floating around for a couple of months. It would be a way more like a box on a boom, ferrying passengers around several points in the harbor. Beach Mayor Hank Wissner has asked the Harbor Commission to look into the feasibility of such a system in March. Now the Harbor Commission has suggested a model, mirrored the Marina del Rey system, which the County of Los Angeles uses. The Harbor would like to be able to cut costs by creating buffer zones that are responsive to public health care facilities. The commission recommended to turn over the project to the City Council that just get planning up and running, along with building the same infrastructures, could cost millions. Fortunately, some of the commission members are hesitant about these costs, despite Mr. Wissner’s intense on a pilot program. He recently told his fellow council members they “should proceed with caution.” They believe he should continue to analyze options and “endeavor” to save money on any project that could potentially cost the taxpayers near half a million dollars a year.

Without a doubt, the court’s decision June 26 last year is the most significant about the right to protest at military funerals, constitutional rights should be protected by the Supreme Court’s lack of clarity ensures this will not be its last ruling about reproductive health care facilities. Some-care professionals should not have to run a gauntlet of harassment in order to express themselves outside of this area.
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